

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,606	06/23/2003	Christopher Dube	DR-352J	8958
7590 05/04/2005			EXAMINER	
IANDIORIO & TESKA			FOX, JOHN C	
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY LAW	ATTORNEYS		
260 BEAR HIL	L ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WALTHAM, MA 02451-1018			3753	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commons	10/601,606	DUBE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Fox	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 I	March 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application	 Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 46-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 46-65 is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u>_</u>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	Examiner. Note the attached					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Aportity documents have been	oplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.				
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the communication filed March 24, 2005.

Claims 46-65 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 24, 2005.

Applicant's election of claims 1-45 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 9, 11, 13, 15-17, 19, 21-22, 34-36, 38-41, 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being aniticipated by Zdeblick.

Zdeblick teaches a microfluidic valve or sensor with associated electronic circuitry on the same substrate or wafer, see column 4, lines 29-45. Such apparatus includes heat transfer and may be termed a dispenser in that there is an outlet for the valved fluid.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3753

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 12, 14, 18, 20. 23-33, 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zdeblick.

The components recited are known in fluid handling systems, and in microfluidic systems, and it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used them with the microfluidic valve of Zdeblick. The sizing of the valve to handle the amount recited in claims 42-43 is considered to be an obvious matter of design choice in view of the state of the art.

Claims 1 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morse et al.

Morse et al show a laminar MEMS fuel cell with electric connections imbedded therein.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barth et al.

Barth et al show a microfluidic device as claimed including a Kapton film.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zdeblick in view of Bergstresser et al.

Zdeblick shows the claimed device except for the materials recited. Bergstresser et al teach a circuit board with a phenolic resin adhesive. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used such a

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

resin to adhere the layers of the valve of Zdeblick. The use of a commercially available resin, R/FLEX, is considered an obvious matter of choice, as is the deposition depth. The recitation of "etched" in claim 7 relates to a method of manufacture and is not given weight in the apparatus claim. As to claim 8, the step of not bonding areas that are not supposed to be bonded is considered an obvious expedient.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753